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#### Abstract

3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-benzyl)-8-isopropyl-adenine V11294 (1) has been identified as a lead structure, which selectively inhibits human lung PDE4 ( 436 nM ) and is also active in a number of in vitro and in vivo models of inflammation. Here we describe the synthesis and pharmacology of a series of highly potent 8-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-substituted analogues, with potencies in the range $10-300 \mathrm{nM}$. In addition, several compounds showed interesting PDE4 subtype specificities, for example, the 3 -thienyl derivative $\mathbf{5 v}$, which showed $6-10 \mathrm{nM}$ potency at PDE4B, D3, and D5 and a 20 - to 200 -fold selectivity over A and D2, respectively.


## Introduction

Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disease characterized by reversible narrowing and inflammation of the airways, accompanied by hyper-reactivity of the respiratory tract to external stimuli. The development of highly effective drugs is urgently needed, because the mortality and morbidity due to asthma are increasing despite extensive treatment. ${ }^{1-3}$
The central role of cyclic nucleotides, cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP), in regulating the function of airway smooth muscle, inflammatory cells, and immune cells is well established. ${ }^{4-8}$ Cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases (PDEs) play a key role in the metabolism of cAMP and cGMP. PDEs have been characterized into 11 distinct families of isozymes that differ in their selectivity and specificity for the hydrolysis of cAMP and cGMP to their inactive $5^{\prime}$-nucleotide products. 9,10 The PDE4 subtype consisting of four gene products (PDE4A to PDE4D) is characterized by selective high-affinity hydrolysis of cAMP. It is predominantly found within airway smooth muscle and proinflammatory cells, making it an attractive target for novel antiasthma and antiinflammatory therapy. ${ }^{11-14}$ Inhibition of this enzyme results in increased cellular levels of cAMP, which contribute to both the relaxation of airway smooth muscle and the prevention of proinflammatory cell activation and recruitment to the lungs and airways.
The prototype PDE4 inhibitor is rolipram, originally developed as an antidepressant. ${ }^{15}$ This compound is however highly emetic and therefore precluded from use as a human therapeutic. First generation derivatives also suffered from this side effect and in general had low oral bioavailability. A new generation of highly potent and orally available PDE4 inhibitors are looking more promising in late stage clinical trials for both asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and

[^0]are expected to reach the market in 2005. The most advanced of these are GlaxoSmithKline's cilomilast (Ariflo) ${ }^{16}$ and Byk Gulden's roflumilast, ${ }^{17}$ currently in phase $2 / 3$ trials for asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Other PDE4 compounds currently undergoing phase 2 trials include Celltech/ Schering-Plough's D4396 ${ }^{18}$ and Almirall's arofylline. ${ }^{19}$

1 has been identified as a lead structure, which selectively inhibits human lung hPDE4 ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=436 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and is also active in a number of in vitro and in vivo models of inflammation. ${ }^{20-22}$ In addition, it is orally active at doses that are not emetogenic, ${ }^{22}$ a side effect that has proved dose limiting for some earlier PDE4 inhibitors. Considerable SAR studies around the purine ring skeleton particularly at $\mathrm{N}-3, \mathrm{C}-8$, and the amino group had led to the identification of $\mathbf{1}$ as a lead structure, in particular the "rolipram-like" disubstituted catechol moiety at N-3. ${ }^{21}$ During the synthesis of V11689 (2), ${ }^{21,23}$ the 8 -hydroxy human metabolite of $\mathbf{1}$, the 8-benzyloxy analogue 5a was also prepared ${ }^{21}$ and was found to be 3 times more potent than its parent in the mixed PDE4 assay and over 30 times as potent in PDE4B and 4D 3/5 assays. These interesting results coincided with a publication, which described a series of highly potent PDE4 inhibitors. ${ }^{24}$ These compounds, hydroxamic acid analogues of rolipram, were suggested to bind at the divalent metal-ion binding pocket of the PDE4 enzyme active site. ${ }^{24}$ We speculated that the increased potency of $\mathbf{5 a}$ could be due to binding of the electron-rich benzylic moiety at this binding site. This paper describes the synthesis and pharmacology of a range of 8-benzyloxy analogues of 5a, a hitherto unexplored region of the purine skeleton.

## Chemistry

2-(6-Amino-9H-purin-8-yl)-2-propanol 3 and 1-(6-amino-9H-purin-8-yl)ethanol 6 were synthesized according to the literature method ${ }^{25}$ starting from 6 -chloropurine. These adenines $\mathbf{3}$ and 6 were then reacted with 3-cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-benzyl chloride ${ }^{21}$ in acetonitrile under reflux to give the 3 -alkylated products 4 and 7. Carrying out this reaction in the absence of base, ensures selective 3-alkylation with none of the

## Scheme $1^{a}$





3 ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}$ )
( $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}$ )


$\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}(\mathbf{5 q}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}} \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CONH}_{2}(\mathbf{5 r})$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{5 s})$
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 3-cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxybenzyl chloride, DMF, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{KH}, \mathrm{THF}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then benzyl or aryl chloride, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, EtOH , reflux, 18 h ; (d) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, room temperature, 4 h ; (e) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 0$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then benzyl chloride, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$.

9 -alkylated regioisomer being formed as has been observed in the literature. ${ }^{26}$
The hydroxy-alkyl side chain was then alkylated with a range of benzyl and heteroaryl bromides and chlorides to give the O-benzylated derivatives $5 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{v}$ and $8 \mathbf{8}-\mathbf{c}$ (Scheme 1). O-Alkylation was found to be accompanied by O,N-dialkylation. The optimum conditions to minimize this were NaH in DMF for the alkylation of $\mathbf{7}$ and KH in THF for alkylations of the more sterically hindered 4. The reactions were monitored by TLC and stopped when the O,N-dialkylated product began to appear. Yields were typically in the range $10-40 \%$. The carboxamide $\mathbf{5 r}$ and carboxylic acid $5 \mathbf{s}$ derivatives were synthesized from the methyl ester 5q by hydrolysis using aqueous ammonia and sodium hydroxide, respectively. The carboxylic acid $\mathbf{5 s}$ was isolated as its sodium salt (Scheme 1).

## In Vitro Pharmacology

The two series of compounds $\mathbf{5 a - v}$ and $\mathbf{8 a - c}$ were tested first against human PDE4 enzymes from human lung tissue. They were then tested in human PDE4A, B, D2, D3, and D5 subtypes, which had been cloned and expressed in a baculovirus system. ${ }^{28}$ The hPDE4AL and hPDE4BL are the long form of the cloned enzymes. The PDE4C enzyme is an unstable clone, and so we were not able to test our compounds in this enzyme subtype. The PDE4C enzyme is mainly found in testis, skeletal muscle, the CNS, and human fetal lung, while the PDE4A, B, and D subtypes are expressed in many
inflammatory cells in man and are therefore of more relevance to the asthmatic disease state (Table 1). ${ }^{29}$ The compounds were then tested against human PDE3 and PDE5 enzymes from human blood platelets. With the exception of $\mathbf{8 b}$ and $\mathbf{8 c}$, which showed low-micromolar PDE5 activity of 1.44 and $4.02 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, the remaining compounds were inactive with both PDE3 and PDE5 enzymes at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration.

## Results and Discussion

With the exception of the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{5 s}$ ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=5230$ nM ), all the gem-dimethyl analogues $5 \mathbf{5}-\mathbf{v}$ were more potent at PDE4 ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=9.7-191 \mathrm{nM}$ ) than $1\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=436\right.$ $\mathrm{nM})$. The most potent compound was the methyl ester $\mathbf{5 q}\left(K_{i}=9.3 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, a hydrogen bond acceptor, which is 47 -fold more potent than the parent 1.
The carboxylic acid $\mathbf{5}$ s is the most weakly active compound in this series at all PDE4 subtype enzymes. This cannot be due to steric requirements as the similarly sized carboxamide $\mathbf{5 r}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=25 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ is one of the most potent analogues. Rather, it must be due either to the polarity of the carboxylate or to the entropically unfavorable desolvation of the carboxylate anion required before binding can occur. The dimethyl series $\mathbf{5 a}-\mathbf{v}$ were 10 - to 20 -fold more potent than their monomethyl analogues $8 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ at all PDE4 subtype enzymes. This may be due either to extra lipophilic binding of the former or to the dimethyl analogue having a greater conformational preference for the desired bioactive conformation. A number of trends were seen in the SAR of the dimethyl series $\mathbf{5 a - v}$. At the 4 -position most substituents are well-tolerated. They showed high potency at PDE4B, D3, and D5 subtypes (5-30 nM ) and approximately 10 -fold lower potency at PDE4A and D2 subtypes ( $100-600 \mathrm{nM}$ ). The 3,4-dimethoxy $\mathbf{5 i}$ and 3,5 -dimethoxy $\mathbf{5 j}$ analogues are of interest in that at PDE4A, B, D3, and D5 the 3,5-dimethoxy compound is approximately twice as potent as the 3,4 -dimethoxy derivative. However at the D2 subtype, the 3,5 -analogue is 8 times as potent as the 3,4 -analogue. Similarly, the 2 -thienyl $5 \mathbf{u}$ and 3 -thienyl $5 \mathbf{v}$ derivatives showed similar potencies at PDE4A, D3, and D5, but at PDE4B, the 3 -thienyl $5 \mathbf{v}$ was 5 times more potent than the 2 -thienyl 5u. However at PDE4D2, the potencies were reversed with the 2 -thienyl $\mathbf{5 u}$ being 6.5 times more potent than the 3 -thienyl $5 \mathbf{v}$.

The 4 -cyano derivative 5 m shows an interesting gradation of tolerance for the PDE4 subtype enzymes being very potent at PDE4D5 ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=19.4 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and D3 ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=33.4 \mathrm{nM}$ ) but only weakly active against PDE4A ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1190 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and D2 ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1840 \mathrm{nM}$ ). The trend is D5 > D3 > B > A > D2. These observations taken together could be used in the design of third generation subtype selective PDE4 inhibitors which could lead to increased tissue and cell selectivity, to decreased side effects, and improved therapeutic index. ${ }^{33-35}$ Recent literature supports this hypothesis; for instance, dual PDE4A/B inhibition has been found to significantly correlate with inhibition of LPS-stimulated TNF- $\alpha$ secretion and with T-cell proliferation in peripheral blood monocytes, while no correlation was found for PDE4D inhibition. The PDE4C ${ }^{36}$ subtype is not generally found in proinflammatory cells, but it is highly expressed in the $\mathrm{CNS}^{37}$ where PDE4 inhibitors are

Table 1. PDE4 Subtype Enzyme Potencies (nM)

| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ (or het) | $\begin{gathered} \text { PDE4 (mix) } \\ \text { mean } \pm \text { SEM } \\ (n) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { hPDE4AL } \\ \text { mean } \pm \text { SEM } \\ (n) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { hPDE4BL } \\ \text { mean } \pm \text { SEM } \\ (n) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { hPDE4D2 } \\ \text { mean } \pm \text { SEM } \\ (n) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { hPDE4D3 } \\ \text { mean } \pm \text { SEM } \\ (n) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { hPDE4D5 } \\ \text { mean } \pm \text { SEM } \\ (n) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rolipram |  |  | $7940 \pm 1840$ (6) | $1470 \pm 820$ (5) | $8460 \pm 1260$ (7) | $382 \pm 42$ (5) | $375 \pm 80$ (4) |
| CI-930 |  |  | >50000 (6) | $>50000$ (5) | $>50000$ (5) | $>50000$ (5) | >50000 (6) |
| zaprinast |  |  | >50000 (4) | >50000 (3) | $>50000$ (5) | >50000 (4) | $8760 \pm 3300$ (5) |
| 1 |  | $436 \pm 23$ (3) | $3900 \pm 1290$ (3) | $270 \pm 42.1$ (3) | $2890 \pm 805$ (5) | $172 \pm 72.9$ (3) | $141 \pm 17$ (3) |
| 5a | H | $191 \pm 21$ (3) | $179 \pm 65$ (4) | $8.9 \pm 3.0$ (3) | $413 \pm 81$ (5) | $5.7 \pm 0.7$ (3) | $4.1 \pm 0.6$ (3) |
| 5b | 2 -OMe | $38 \pm 2.1$ (3) | $137 \pm 25.1$ (3) | $11.0 \pm 3.8$ (3) | $255 \pm 53.5$ (3) | $7.1 \pm 3.7$ (3) | $5.1 \pm 0.6$ (3) |
| 5c | $3-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $30.3 \pm 3.2$ (3) | $175 \pm 32$ (3) | $13.0 \pm 4.8$ (3) | $296 \pm 39$ (3) | $6.0 \pm 3.3$ (3) | $5.1 \pm 0.9$ (3) |
| 5d | $4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $22.6 \pm 2.2$ (3) | $113 \pm 27$ (3) | $10.3 \pm 3.1(3)$ | $347 \pm 52$ (3) | $5.6 \pm 2.9$ (3) | $8.1 \pm 5.5$ (3) |
| 5 e | 4-Me | $19.7 \pm 2.0$ (3) | $206 \pm 26$ (3) | $9.8 \pm 3.9$ (3) | $347 \pm 50$ (3) | $6.6 \pm 3.0$ (3) | $7.6 \pm 3.5$ (3) |
| 5 f | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $25.3 \pm 2.6$ (3) | $126 \pm 43$ (3) | $11.0 \pm 2.0$ (3) | $205 \pm 34$ (3) | $5.8 \pm 0.9$ (3) | $4.8 \pm 1.8$ (3) |
| 5g | 2-F | $45.3 \pm 7.4$ (3) | $271 \pm 76$ (3) | $18.9 \pm 1.9$ (3) | $427 \pm 45$ (3) | $11.0 \pm 6.1$ (3) | $6.8 \pm 3.2$ (3) |
| 5h | 3-F | $60.0 \pm 6.4$ (3) | $208 \pm 21$ (3) | $11.6 \pm 3.4$ (3) | $369 \pm 74$ (3) | $7.4 \pm 2.9$ (3) | $8.4 \pm 4.6$ (3) |
| 5 i | $3,4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $91.7 \pm 23.2$ (3) | $405 \pm 8(3)$ | $42.8 \pm 19.3$ (4) | $2640 \pm 530$ (3) | $15.0 \pm 4.5$ (3) | $7.8 \pm 1.8$ (3) |
| 5j | $3,5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $44.0 \pm 2.1(3)$ | $225 \pm 29$ (3) | $21.9 \pm 8.1$ (3) | $340 \pm 84$ (3) | $9.0 \pm 1.8$ (3) | $7.9 \pm 1.2$ (3) |
| 5k | 3,4-F | $49.0 \pm 1.5$ (3) | $244 \pm 47.0$ (3) | $17.3 \pm 2.8$ (3) | $459 \pm 63$ (3) | $13.0 \pm 1.6$ (3) | $13.3 \pm 0.7$ (3) |
| 51 | $3,4-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $35.0 \pm 8.3$ (3) | $147 \pm 27$ (3) | $21.4 \pm 11.3$ (3) | $218 \pm 41$ (3) | $6.6 \pm 1.9$ (3) | $3.7 \pm 1.4$ (3) |
| 5 m | 4-CN | $58.0 \pm 2.0$ (3) | $1190 \pm 170$ (3) | $71.3 \pm 18.2$ (3) | $1840 \pm 290$ (3) | $33.4 \pm 8.0$ (3) | $19.4 \pm 9.6$ (3) |
| 5 n | $3,4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $26.0 \pm 3.0$ (3) | $166 \pm 22$ (3) | $9.7 \pm 5.8$ (3) | $355 \pm 30$ (3) | $10.6 \pm 4.8$ (3) | $6.6 \pm 2.7$ (3) |
| 50 | $4-t$-Bu | $15.0 \pm 3.0$ (3) | $175 \pm 41$ (3) | $5.8 \pm 1.3$ (3) | $255 \pm 8$ (3) | $5.0 \pm 0.6$ (3) | $4.3 \pm 1.4$ (3) |
| 5p | $4-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $27.0 \pm 3.5$ (3) | $415 \pm 95$ (3) | $26.6 \pm 10.0$ (3) | $782 \pm 225$ (5) | $6.5 \pm 3.6$ (3) | $7.2 \pm 1.1$ (3) |
| 5q | $4-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | $9.3 \pm 1.0$ (3) | $103 \pm 13$ (3) | $5.2 \pm 2.1$ (3) | $246 \pm 68$ (3) | $3.0 \pm 0.1$ (3) | $5.5 \pm 1.7$ (3) |
| 5 r | $4-\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | $25.0 \pm 2.5$ (3) | $155 \pm 34$ (3) | $7.6 \pm 3.2$ (3) | $312 \pm 32$ (3) | $8.0 \pm 2.1$ (3) | $4.9 \pm 1.7$ (3) |
| 5s | $4-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $5230 \pm 60$ (3) | >50000 (3) | $>50000$ (3) | >50000 (3) | $4300 \pm 2420$ (3) | >50000 (3) |
| 5t | $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $23.5 \pm 1.0$ (3) | $309 \pm 34$ (3) | $33 \pm 13$ (3) | $580 \pm 192$ (4) | $9.9 \pm 4.7$ (3) | $10.3 \pm 4.3$ (3) |
| 5 u | 2-thienyl | $53.3 \pm 11.7$ (3) | $236 \pm 65$ (4) | $46.9 \pm 20.0$ (3) | $412 \pm 100$ (4) | $7.0 \pm 1.3$ (3) | $6.3 \pm 1.5$ (3) |
| 5v | 3-thienyl | $83.3 \pm 12.7$ (3) | $223 \pm 72$ (3) | $9.5 \pm 3.1$ (3) | $2680 \pm 870$ (3) | $9.0 \pm 4.7$ (3) | $6.6 \pm 3.6$ (3) |
| $8 \mathbf{8}$ | H | $1210 \pm 140$ (3) | $15200 \pm 9900$ (3) | $533 \pm 164$ (3) | $4450 \pm 1560$ (4) | $640 \pm 150$ (4) | $590 \pm 107$ (3) |
| 8b | $3-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $1470 \pm 170$ (3) | $5200 \pm 930$ (3) | $430 \pm 40$ (3) | $5050 \pm 620$ (3) | $493 \pm 133$ (4) | $322 \pm 83$ (3) |
| 8c | 3-F | $204 \pm 69$ (3) | $3390 \pm 1350$ (3) | $269 \pm 95$ (4) | $4300 \pm 580$ (3) | $114.7 \pm 24.3$ (3) | $231 \pm 112$ (3) |

believed to promote many of their side effects such as emesis. Third generation inhibitors could target minimizing PDE4C inhibition as a drug design strategy. Interestingly, cilomilast (Ariflo) ${ }^{16}$ currently in clinical phase trials shows 10 -fold selectivity for PDE4D versus other PDE4 subtypes.

## Conclusions

The synthesis of the 8-benzyloxy analogues of $\mathbf{1}$ has led to the discovery of highly potent and selective PDE4 inhibitors that are 10 - to 20 -fold more potent than the parent compound. The 4-methylester derivative $\mathbf{5 q}$ was the most potent compound in the series showing single digit nanomolar potency at the $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D} 3$, and D5 subtypes. In addition, a number of compounds in particular the 4 -cyano $5 \mathbf{m}$, the 2 - and 3 -thienyl $\mathbf{5 u}$ and $\mathbf{5 v}$, and the 3,4 - and 3,5 -dimethoxy $\mathbf{5 i}$ and $\mathbf{5 j}$ analogues showed interesting PDE4 subtype specificities which could be further exploited to design third generation PDE4 inhibitors with reduced side effect liability.

## Experimental Section

Anhydrous diethyl ether (ether) and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were obtained by distillation from sodium hydride/benzophenone ketyl under argon immediately prior to use. All other reagents were used as obtained from commercial sources or purified by standard methods. $N, N$-Dimethylformamide is abbreviated to DMF and ethyl acetate to EtOAc. All reactions were performed under an inert atmosphere unless otherwise stated. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on glass plates precoated with Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254} 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, which were visualized by quenching of UV fluorescence ( $\lambda_{\max } 254 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and by staining with iodine vapor or Dragendorff's reagent. $R_{f}$ values are quoted to the nearest 0.05. Flash chromatography was performed on YMC 60A I-400/230 mesh silica. Melting points (mp) were determined using an Electrothermal IA9200 apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded
on a Bruker DPX400 or AMX500 spectrometer. The spectra were referenced to residual protonated solvent residues as an internal standard, and chemical shifts are quoted in parts per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane in the solvent indicated in parentheses. Values are quoted to 0.01 ppm . Signal multiplicities are described as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), and q (quartet) with $J$ being reported to the nearest 0.1 Hz . The symbol br indicates that the peak was broad. Lowresolution mass spectra (MS) were recorded on a Micromass Platform LC system with electrospray (ES) mode of ionization. Intensities were recorded as percentages of the largest signal, and only major peaks were recorded, as the mass to charge ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) ratio.

Preparation of 2-(6-Amino-9H-purin-8-yl)-2-propanol (3). 2-(6-Amino-9H-purin-8-yl)-2-propanol 3 was prepared according to the literature method. ${ }^{25}$

Preparation of 2-\{6-Amino-3-[3-(cyclopentyloxy)-4-methoxybenzyl]-3H-purin-8-yl\}-2-propanol (4). 2-(6-Amino$9 H$-purin-8-yl)-2-propanol $3(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry DMF ( 25 mL ) with heating and stirring ( $45-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) under nitrogen. To the clear solution was added freshly prepared 3-cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-benzyl chloride ${ }^{21}$ ( 1.3 g , 5.4 mmol ), and the resulting mixture was heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring for 4 h . The cooled solution was evaporated to dryness in vacuo, the residue was partitioned between saturated sodium bicarbonate solution ( 400 mL ) and dichloromethane ( 400 mL ), the organic phase was separated and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed over flash silica, eluting with dichloromethane/methanol (8:1) to give a yellow gum. Trituration with ice-cold acetone ( 50 mL ) gave 4 as a white solid ( $0.63 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}=207.2-209^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TLC $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 8: 1\right) R_{f}=0.22$; detection, UV and Dragendorff's reagent. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right\}: 1.50(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.55-1.96(8 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.86$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, ~ J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

Preparation of 1-(6-Amino-9H-purin-8-yl) Ethanol (6). 1-(6-Chloro-9H-purin-8-yl) ethanol (prepared from 6-chloro-9-tetrahydropyran-2-yl-purine ${ }^{27}$ using $n$-butyllithium, in tet-
rahydrofuran at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by treatment with acetaldehyde, using the literature method ${ }^{25}$ ) ( $5.7 \mathrm{~g}, 28.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in concentrated ammonia $\rho=0.88(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was heated to $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring in a sealed tube for 48 h . The cooled mixture was evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed over flash silica eluting with dichloromethane/methanol (5:1) to give 6 as a white solid ( $4.27 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ): mp 240.1-247 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TLC, $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 5: 1\right) R_{f}=0.41$; detection, UV. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\{400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right\}: 1.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.8$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.5-13.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$.

Preparation of 1-\{6-Amino-3-[3-(cyclopentyloxy)-4-methoxybenzyl]-3H-purin-8-yl\}ethanol (7). 3-Cyclopentyl-oxy-4-methoxybenzyl chloride ${ }^{21}(5.91 \mathrm{~g}, 24.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1-( $6-$ amino-9H-purin-8-yl) ethanol $\mathbf{6}(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 22.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ were heated together in dry DMF ( 35 mL ) with stirring at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 4 h . The solvent was evaporated to dryness in vacuo, and the residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (5:1) $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (400 $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic phase was separated and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to leave a yellow gum. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 12: 1\right)$ gave a yellow foam which was triturated with cold acetone $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to give $7(2.64 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp}=199-202^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MH}^{+}$ $=384.05(100 \%)$. TLC $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 12: 1\right) R_{f}=0.25$; detection, UV and Dragendorff's reagent. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\{400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right\}: 1.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48-1.70(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.77-$ $1.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.43(1 \mathrm{H}$, s). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure B for the Alkylation of 4. Potassium hydride (2.2 equiv, $35 \mathrm{wt} \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) under a constant flow of argon was transferred to a flame dried roundbottom flask. The potassium hydride was washed with pentane ( 2 mL , dried over NaH ). Compound 4 ( 1 equiv, 1 mmol ), then THF ( 15 mL ) was added, and the solution was heated to 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The resulting bright yellow solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the substituted benzyl halide ( 1.2 equiv) in THF (5 mL ) was added dropwise over 20 min . The reaction was maintained at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction was stirred for 5 h at room temperature or until visualization of the bis-benzylated material by TLC. The reaction was recooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and water ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise. EtOAc ( 10 mL ) was added, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was triturated with cold ether ( 10 mL ) to give recovered starting material 4 ( $40-70 \%$ ). Evaporation of the ether filtrate under reduced pressure gave the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography [ $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, EtOAc ( 500 mL ), and then $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}, 60: 10: 1(460 \mathrm{~mL})$ ] gave the product $(6-35 \%)$. This was converted to its crystalline fumarate salt in most cases.

General Procedure E for the Alkylation of 7. Sodium hydride ( 1.2 equiv, $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) under a constant flow of argon was transferred to a flame-dried roundbottom flask. The sodium hydride was washed with pentane ( 2 mL , dried over NaH ). DMF ( 40 mL ) and then compound 7 ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were added, and the solution was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The resulting bright yellow solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the substituted benzyl halide ( 1.2 equiv) in DMF ( 3 mL ) was added dropwise. The reaction was maintained at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction was recooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and water ( 10 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added dropwise. EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with brine ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude product. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}, 100: 10: 1\right.$ to $40: 10$ : 1 ; gradient elution) gave the product ( $3-35 \%$ ) which if not crystalline was converted to its fumarate salt.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Fumarate Salts. Fumaric acid (1.05 equiv) was dissolved in the minimum quantity of anhydrous ether/methanol (10:1). This solution was added dropwise to the adenines $5 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{v}$ or $\mathbf{8 a - c}$ (1 equiv) in a minimum volume of ether, immediately forming a precipitate. Filtration and washing with cold anhydrous ether ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) gave the desired salt as a crystalline solid. Evaporation of the solvent and trituration with cold ether gave a second crop of the salt.

Preparation of 8-(1-Benzyloxy-1-methyl-ethyl)-3-(3-cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-benzyl)-3H-purin-6-ylamine Hydrochloride (5a). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the $3 H$-purin- 6 -ylamine $\mathbf{5 a}$ ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ yield) as a white solid. It was dissolved in ether $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with hydrogen chloride 1 M in ether ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was filtered, washed with ether ( 20 mL ), and dried in vacuo to give the hydrochloride salt as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp}=$ $203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right\}: 1.53-1.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.74(6 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.80-1.97(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $5.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.3$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24-7.37(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.99(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $9.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 14.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(2-methoxy-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-pu-rin-6-ylamine (5b). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the $3 H$-purin- 6 -ylamine $\mathbf{5 b}$ ( $10 \%$ yield): $\mathrm{mp}=202.3-$ $202.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}: 1.49-1.92(14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.65-4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $6.78-6.95(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.20-7.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.97(1 \mathrm{H}$, s). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 1.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(3-methoxy-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-pu-rin-6-ylamine Hemifumarate Hemihydrate (5c). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the $3 H$-purin- 6 -ylamine $\mathbf{5 c}$ ( $10 \%$ yield). The fumarate salt was formed using the general procedure above: $\mathrm{mp}=171.1-171.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\{500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}: 1.54-1.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.73-1.86(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.66-4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.63$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.94-7.01$ $(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(4-methoxy-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-pu-rin-6-ylamine Hemifumarate (5d). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the 3 H -purin- 6 -ylamine $\mathbf{5 d}$ ( $40 \%$ yield). It was converted to the fumarate salt using the general procedure above: $\mathrm{mp}=199.8-200.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\{500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right\}: 1.48-1.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.63-1.68(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.71(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.77-$ $1.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.74(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.65-4.70$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.86$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.15,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.18 ( 2 H , $\mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80-7.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs})$, $8.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left.\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(4-methyl-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-purin-6-ylamine Hemifumarate (5e). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the $3 H$-purin- 6 -ylamine $\mathbf{5 e}$ ( $35 \%$ yield). This was converted to the fumarate salt using the general procedure above: $\mathrm{mp}=196.9-197.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}: 1.55-$ $1.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.73-1.86(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.65-4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.94-6.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 10.74(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs $)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$. $\left.0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 8-\{1-[(4-Chlorobenzyl)oxy]-1-methyl-ethyl\}-3-[3-cyclopentyloxy)-4-methoxybenzyl]-3H-purin-6-amine Hemifumarate Hydrate (5f). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the 3 H -purin-6-ylamine $\mathbf{5 f}$ ( $10 \%$ yield). It was converted to the fumarate salt using the general procedure above: $\mathrm{mp}=170.8-171.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\{500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}: 1.56-1.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.73-1.88(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.66-4.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92-6.96(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(2 \mathrm{H}$,
d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{H}$ analysis was $0.41 \%$ lower than theory.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(2-fluoro-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-purin-6-ylamine (5g). 2-\{6-Amino-3-[3-(cyclopentyloxy)-4-methoxy-benzyl]-3H-purin-8-yl\}-2-propanol $4(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.006 \mathrm{mmol})$ was alkylated with 2 -fluorobenzyl chloride ( $0.145 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using the general method $b$ to give a colorless gum $(120.9 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.239 mmol ) after flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2-}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 20: 1$ ): $R_{f}=0.15$; detection, UV and Dragendorff's reagent. This was dissolved in ether ( 20 mL ); fumaric acid $(27.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.239 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was allowed to crystallize slowly. The mixture was filtered to give $\mathbf{5 g}(103.5 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp}=$ $202.5-203^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right\}: 1.47-1.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.58-1.60(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.70(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.74-1.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.66(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $4.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.65-4.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $6.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.06-7.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22-7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85-$ $8.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bd}), 8.51\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, s). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$. $\left.0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(3-fluoro-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-purin-6-ylamine Hemifumarate Hemihydrate (5h). 2-\{6-Amino-3-[3-(cyclopentyloxy)-4-methoxybenzyl]-3H-purin-8-yl\}-2propanol $4(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.006 \mathrm{mmol})$ was alkylated with 3 -fluorobenzyl chloride ( $0.145 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using method b to give a white solid ( $126.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) after flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 25: 1\right): R_{f}=0.22$; detection, UV and Dragendorff's reagent. This was dissolved in ether ( 15 mL ); fumaric acid ( $29.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the resulting mixture was allowed to crystallize slowly with cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was filtered and dried in vacuo at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $5 \mathbf{h}(102.1 \mathrm{mg}$, $16 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp}=197.5-198^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\{400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right\}$ : $1.45-1.65(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.69(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.75-1.85(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.62-4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $6.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.00-7.16(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.22$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.67 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.75-7.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.51$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(3,4-dimethoxy-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-purin-6-ylamine Fumarate Hemihydrate (5i). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the $3 H$-purin-6ylamine $\mathbf{5 i}$ ( $11 \%$ yield). This was converted to the fumarate salt using the general method above: $\mathrm{mp}=160.2-160.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1.58-1.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.71(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.78-1.88(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.66-4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.79$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.73-7.00(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 10.70$ (1H, brs). Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(3,5-dimethoxy-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-purin-6-ylamine Fumarate (5j). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the 3 H -purin-6ylamine $\mathbf{5 j}$ ( $21 \%$ yield). This was converted to the fumarate salt using the general procedure above: $\mathrm{mp}=165.3-165.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}$ : $1.52-$ $1.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.73-1.85(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.73(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.64-4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 6.93-6.99 (4H, m), $8.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. C analysis was $0.42 \%$ higher than theory.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(3,4-difluoro-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-pu-rin-6-ylamine Fumarate (5k). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the $3 H$-purin-6ylamine $\mathbf{5 k}$ ( $21 \%$ yield). This was converted to the fumarate salt using the general procedure above: $\mathrm{mp}=169.1-169.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(1 \%\right.$ DMSO$\left.\left.d_{6}\right)\right\}: 1.38-1.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.63-1.75(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.20$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.51-4.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.64-6.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 6.81-6.99 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $7.14-7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(3,4-methylenedioxy-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]$\mathbf{3 H}$-purin-6-ylamine Hemifumarate (51). Using the general
procedure b, we obtained the 3 H -purin-6ylamine $\mathbf{5 l}$ ( $13 \%$ yield). This was converted to the fumarate salt using the general procedure above: $\mathrm{mp}=164.1-164.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\{400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}: 1.53-1.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.71-1.88(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.64-4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.69$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.90-7.01(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.02(1 \mathrm{H}$, s). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(4-cyano-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-purin-6-ylamine (5m). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the $3 H$-purin- 6 -yl-amine $\mathbf{5 m}$ ( $10 \%$ yield). This was converted to the fumarate salt using the general procedure above: mp $=170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}: 1.55-1.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.73(6 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.78-1.90(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.65-4.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.91$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.80-6.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.92-7.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.50-7.59(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 11.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs})$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(3,4-dichloro-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-pu-rin-6-ylamine Hemifumarate Hemihydrate (5n). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the $3 H$-purin-6ylamine $\mathbf{5 n}(29 \%$ yield). This was converted to the fumarate salt using the general procedure above: $\mathrm{mp}=215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\{400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}: 1.32-1.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.56-1.67(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.25,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.83 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(4-tert-butyl-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-pu-rin-6-ylamine Hemifumarate Hydrate (5o). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the $3 H$-purin-6ylamine 50 ( $29 \%$ yield). It was converted to the fumarate salt using the general procedure above: $\mathrm{mp}=167{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\{400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}: 1.23(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.70-1.78(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.79$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $6.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23-7.28(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.99(1 \mathrm{H}$, s). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(4-trifluoromethoxy-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]-3H-purin-6-ylamine Hemifumarate (5p). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the $3 H$-purin-6ylamine 5p (19\% yield). It was converted to the fumarate salt using the general procedure above: $\mathrm{mp}=210^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}$ : 1.33$1.37(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.15(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.24(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs), $5.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.36-6.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.59-5.61$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.67-6.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.96-6.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 4-\{2-[6-Amino-3-(3-cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-benzyl)-3H-purin-8-yl]-2-methyl-propoxy $\}$-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester Hemifumarate Hemihydrate (5q). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the 3 H -purin6ylamine $5 \mathbf{q}$ ( $36 \%$ yield). It was converted to the fumarate salt using the general method above: $\mathrm{mp}=188.5-189^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\{400$ MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right\}: 1.45-1.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.56-1.65(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.69$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.72-1.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.32(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}), 4.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.90-7.95$ $(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs $), 8.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 13.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right.$. $\left.0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 4-\{2-[6-Amino-3-(3-cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-benzyl)-3H-purin-8-yl]-2-methyl-propoxy \}-benzamide Fumarate Hemihydrate (5r). The methyl ester 5q ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.367 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in ethanol ( 5 mL ). Concentrated ammonia $\rho=0.88(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 18 h . The cooled reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness in vacuo, and the residue was chromatographed over flash silica $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 20: 1\right)$ to give the amide $\mathbf{5 r}(151 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp}=167.3-168.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}=0.07$; detection, UV and Dragendorff's reagent. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right\}: 1.45-$ $1.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.57-1.67(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.70(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.72-1.80(2 \mathrm{H}$,
s), $3.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.61-4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $6.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.75$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot\right.$ $\left.0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 4-\{2-[6-Amino-3-(3-cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-benzyl)-3H-purin-8-yl]-2-methyl-propoxy\}-benzoic Acid Sodium Salt Trihydrate (5s). The methyl ester $\mathbf{5 q}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.367 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in ethanol ( 5 mL ). Sodium hydroxide ( $58.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h , after which time a white precipitate formed. The mixture was filtered, washed with cold ethanol ( 2 mL ), and dried in vacuo to give the sodium salt $5 \mathrm{~s}(125 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp}=317.8-319.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right\}: 1.46-$ $1.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.55(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.57-1.67(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.78-1.85(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.65-4.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(4-trifluoromethyl-benzyloxy)-1-methyl-ethyl]$\mathbf{3 H}$-purin-6-ylamine Hemifumarate (5t). Using the general procedure b, we obtained the $3 H$-purin-6ylamine $5 \mathbf{t}$ ( $22 \%$ yield). It was converted to the fumarate salt using the procedure given: $\mathrm{mp}=196{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}: 1.25$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.50-1.91(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.68(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $5.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.3,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45-7.55(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.95$ (1H, s). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1,1-dimethyl-2-(thiophen-2-yloxy)-ethyl]-3H-purin-6-ylamine (5u). Potassium hydride $35 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil ( $301.9 \mathrm{mg}, 2.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was washed with dry pentane ( $3 \times$ 10 mL ) and suspended in dry THF ( 60 mL ) under argon. 2-\{6-Amino-3-[3-(cyclopentyloxy)-4-methoxybenzyl]-3H-purin-8-yl\}-2-propanol 4 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the resulting suspension was heated to $45-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was cooled to $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 2-chloromethylthiophene ( $183.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.39$ mmol ) in dry THF ( 20 mL ) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature with stirring for 48 h . The mixture was quenched with brine ( 250 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL})$; the organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was evaporated to dryness in vacuo to leave a yellow gum. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 20: 1$ ) gave a yellow gum ( $67.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.137 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which was dissolved in ether/methanol ( $10: 1,2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and fumaric acid $(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.137 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was cooled in ice for 1 h and filtered to give 5 u as a white solid ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}=162-165.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TLC $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 20: 1\right) R_{f}=0.21$; detection, UV and Dragendorff's reagent. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right\}$ : $1.48-1.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.58$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.67(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.75-1.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.40(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}), 4.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.83-6.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,3.48 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.75-7.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bd}), 8.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1,1-dimethyl-3-(thiophen-3-yloxy)-ethyl]-3H-purin-6-ylamine Hemifumarate (5v). 2-\{6-Amino-3-[3-(cyclopen-tyloxy)-4-methoxybenzyl]-3H-purin-8-yl\}-2-propanol 4 ( 500 mg , 1.26 mmol ) was alkylated with 3-chloromethylthiophene (183.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using the general method b to give a white solid ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 44.56 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) after flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 25: 1\right)$ and trituration with EtOAc ( 20 mL ). TLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 25: 1$ ) $R_{f}=0.24$; detection, UV and Dragendorff's reagent. The solid was dissolved in methanol ( 1 mL ); fumaric acid ( $5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 44.56 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in methanol ( 1 mL ) was added, and the mixture was evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in hot EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and allowed to crystallize slowly at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was filtered to give 5 v as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp}=191-193^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\{400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right\}: 1.49-1.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.62-1.69(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.71(6 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.79-1.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$,
$6.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.37-$ $7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 13.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$. HRMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}, 494.2226$; found, 494.2232. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ C, H, N.

Preparation of 8-(1-Benzyloxy-ethyl)-3-(3-cyclopentyl-oxy-4-methoxy-benzyl)-3H-purin-6-ylamine (8a). Using the general procedure e, we obtained the $3 H$-purin-6ylamine $8 \mathbf{a}\left(16 \%\right.$ yield): $R_{f}=0.6\left(\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}, 10: 1: 1\right), \mathrm{mp}=$ $210{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}: 1.56-1.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.78-1.91$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5), 3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.68-4.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $4.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.44(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs), $6.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.04$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.24-7.41(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES})^{+}$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 496\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}, 36 \%\right), 474\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100 \%\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$. $\left.0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(4-methoxy-benzyloxy)-ethyl]-3H-purin-6ylamine (8b). Using the general procedure e, we isolated the $3 H$-purin-6ylamine 8b ( $5 \%$ yield): $R_{f}=0.2$ ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} /$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3}, 20: 1: 1\right), \mathrm{mp}=204{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}: 1.56-1.67$, $1.76-1.92(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.68-4.72(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $4.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 5.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, ~ J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs})$, $6.83-6.89(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}) . \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 526$ $\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}, 28 \%\right), 504\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100 \%\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H, N.

Preparation of 3-(3-Cyclopentyloxy-4-methoxy-ben-zyl)-8-[1-(4-fluoro-benzyloxy)-ethyl]-3H-purin-6ylamine (8c). Using the general procedure e, we isolated the $3 H$-purin- 6 -ylamine $8 \mathbf{c}$ ( $3 \%$ yield): $R_{f}=0.2(\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} /$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3}, 20: 1: 1\right), \mathrm{mp}=217^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left\{400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right\}: 1.60-1.69$, $1.80-1.92(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.69-$ $4.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 5.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, ~ J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.44(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs), $6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.99-$ $7.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.36-7.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) . \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : $514\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}, 41 \%\right), 492\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100 \%\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$. $\left.0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{N}$ analysis was $0.42 \%$ lower than theory.

PDE Binding Assay. The PDE4 enzyme was isolated from cadaver human lung ( 8 h post-mortem); PDE3 and PDE5 were isolated from freshly collected human platelets. The PDE4 isoform assays were obtained using recombinant hPDE4AL, hPDE4BL, hPDE4D2, hPDE4D3, and hPDE4D5 from the baculovirus system. They were obtained from Professor Conti at Stanford University Medical Center. Assays were conducted using $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ cAMP SPA kit $40 \mu \mathrm{Ci}$ for PDE3 and PDE4; for the PDE5 assay, $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ cGMP SPA kit $40 \mu \mathrm{Ci}$ was used, both from Amersham Biosciences Corp. ${ }^{31,32}$ In each assay, substrate concentration was 200 nM , near the $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ values for the enzymes under the conditions of the assays.
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